



VALUATION SERVICES, INC.

3715 Northside Parkway
200 Northcreek, Suite 550
Atlanta, Georgia 30327

**A PROPERTY VALUE STUDY FOR
TELECOMMUNICATION STRUCTURES IN
Fulton County, Georgia
GVS File No. 10-5123A**

Prepared for:
Ms. Sue Curtis
T-Mobile, Real Estate and Zoning Manager
Four Concourse Parkway
Suite 300
Atlanta, Georgia 30328



GREYSTONE

VALUATION SERVICES, INC.

Bradley R. Carter, MAI, CRE, CCIM
Harris B. Simpson, MAI, CRE
Matthew H. Jackson

3715 Northside Parkway, N.W.
200 Northcreek, Suite 550
Atlanta, Georgia 30327
404.459.0913 main line
404.252.0960 facsimile
www.greystonevs.com

March 24, 2010

Ms. Sue Curtis
T-Mobile, Real Estate and Zoning Manager
Four Concourse Parkway, Suite 300
Atlanta, Georgia 30328



Regarding: Property Value Study for Telecommunication Structures in Fulton County.

Dear Ms. Curtis:

At your request, we have undertaken a study to determine whether telecommunication structures in Fulton County typically affect the values of surrounding or nearby properties. Based on our research, it is our professional opinion that telecommunication structures have no discernable negative impact on the values, marketability or the rates of appreciation of properties in the surrounding area. Our research and findings are set forth below.

Telecommunication structures are typically used for wireless telephone transmissions and are typically 120 to 300 feet tall. Although they are located in a variety of settings, they have often been situated alongside interstates or primary traffic corridors, adjoining commercial developments or in the proximity of relatively high-density land uses. This reflects the natural growth of the wireless telecommunication industry. Systems are initially designed to serve main thoroughfares and areas with high concentrations of population. Subsequently, as these systems mature they expand into less populated suburban or rural areas. Today, it is not uncommon to see telecommunication structures in residential areas. Overall, telecommunication structures have proven themselves to be an innocuous land use with no evident impact on surrounding property value and/or marketability.

In order to form an opinion as to whether these structures have an unfavorable impact on the values of surrounding property, we researched several hundred existing telecommunication towers constructed in Fulton County. Since single-family residential properties sell most often and provide the best opportunity to observe changes in property value, we generally seek to find examples of residential subdivisions located near a wireless telecommunication structure. Our theory is as follows: if wireless telecommunication structures have a detrimental effect on neighboring or nearby properties, that effect should be demonstrated by the market in the form of a lower sales price for residences in the immediate area surrounding the tower, and a higher sales price for similar residences in the same subdivision and which are not located in the immediate area surrounding the tower.

Of the towers we reviewed in Fulton County, we narrowed our search to towers in immediate proximity to newer residential subdivisions. We attempted to find examples of home sales in the

Ms. Curtis
March 24, 2010
Page Two

following three price ranges: below \$250,000, \$250,000 to \$500,000, and over \$500,000. We visited several neighborhoods with telecommunication towers and interviewed homeowners in the area. We also interviewed brokers that have recently sold homes near the comparable towers. In each of the case studies examined, we found examples of similar or higher sale prices for homes in the area immediately surrounding the respective tower.

Additionally, we attempted to find homes that sold before and after the construction of a nearby telecommunication tower. In this scenario, our theory is as follows: if wireless telecommunication structures have a detrimental effect, that effect should be demonstrated in the form of a lower sales price after the wireless structure is erected, or at least a lower degree of appreciation, all other things being equal. In each of the case studies examined, we found examples of stable or appreciating home values during the period in which the wireless structures were erected. Additionally, there appeared to be no diminutive effect on appreciation to the homes in the immediate proximity of the wireless structures.

Based on these data, it is our opinion and judgment that telecommunication structures are compatible with residential land uses and we do not believe properties located adjacent to, or near telecommunication structures suffer any measurable impact in the form of lower values or decreased marketability.


Several examples of our research are included as attachments to this letter. In each case, we found that these structures had no measurable influence on nearby property values.

The reader's attention is directed to the underlying assumptions and conditions included in the report. **Acceptance of, and/or use of, this report constitute acceptance of these conditions.**

It has been a pleasure to be of service.

Very truly yours,

Greystone Valuation Services, Inc.



Harris B. Simpson, MAI, CRE
Certified General Real Property Appraiser
Georgia 003144



R. Lane Kaufman
State Registered Real Property Appraiser
Georgia 334881



UNDERLYING ASSUMPTIONS AND CONDITIONS

The analysis and the attached report are made subject to the following conditions and assumptions:

1. Any legal description or plats reported herein are assumed to be accurate. Any sketches, plats, or drawings are included to assist the reader in visualizing the property. We have made no survey of the property and assume no responsibility in connection with such matters.
2. No responsibility is assumed for matters legal in nature. Title is assumed to be good and marketable and in fee simple unless discussed otherwise in the report. The property is considered to be free and clear of existing liens, assessments, and encumbrances, except as noted.
3. It is assumed that all water and sewer facilities (existing and proposed) are or will be in good working order and are or will be of sufficient size to adequately serve any proposed improvements.
4. Any depiction of the physical condition of the improvements described herein is based on visual observation. No liability is assumed for the soundness of structural members since no engineering tests were conducted. No liability is assumed for the condition of mechanical equipment, plumbing, or electrical components, as complete tests were not made.
5. Any proposed or incomplete improvements included in this report are assumed to be satisfactorily completed in a workmanlike manner within a reasonable length of time according to plans and specifications submitted.
6. Unless otherwise noted herein, it is assumed that there are no encroachments or violations of any zoning or other regulations affecting the subject property and that the utilization of the land and improvements is within the boundaries or property lines of the property described.
7. The property is assumed to be under financially sound, competent and aggressive ownership.
8. Any value discussions reported herein apply to the entire property and any proration or division of the total into fractional interests will invalidate any such opinions of value, unless such proration or division of interests is set forth in the report.
9. Any projections of income and expenses, including the reversion at time of resale, are not predictions of the future. Rather, they are our best estimate of current market thinking of what future trends will be. No warranty of representation is made that these projections will materialize. The real estate market is constantly fluctuating and changing. It is not the task of an appraiser to estimate the conditions of a future real estate market, but rather to reflect what the investment community envisions for the future in terms of expectations of growth in rental rates, expenses and supply and demand.
10. Unless subsoil opinions based upon engineering core borings were furnished, it is assumed there are no subsoil defects present that would impair development of the land to its maximum permitted use, or would render it more or less valuable.
11. In any assignment involving improvements, the existence of potentially hazardous material used in the construction or maintenance of buildings, such as the presence of formaldehyde foam insulation, the existence of toxic waste, and/or the existence of asbestos insulation which may or may not be present on the property, has not been considered, unless otherwise noted. Appraisers are not qualified to detect such substances. The client is urged to retain an expert in this field.
12. Any division of the land and improvement values estimated herein is applicable only under the program of utilization shown. These separate valuations are invalidated by any other application.
13. We are not required to give further consultation, testimony or be in attendance in court by reason of this analysis or report, with reference to the property in question, unless arrangements have been made previously.



GREYSTONE

VALUATION SERVICES, INC.

14. The study is based on the premise that there is full compliance with all applicable federal, state, and local environmental regulations and laws unless otherwise stated in the report; further that all applicable zoning, building, and use regulations and restrictions of all types have been complied with unless otherwise stated in the report; further, it is assumed that all required licenses, consents, permits, or other legislative or administrative authority, local, state, federal and/or private entity or organization have been or can be obtained or renewed for any use considered in the value estimate.
15. Neither all nor any part of the contents of this report shall be conveyed to the public through advertising, public relations, news, sales, or other media, without the prior written consent and approval of the author; this limitation pertains to any valuation conclusions, the identity of the analyst or the firm, and any reference to the Appraisal Institute or to the designations thereof.
16. Although the analyst has made, insofar as is practical, every effort to verify as factual and true all data set forth in this report, no responsibility is assumed for the accuracy of any information furnished the analyst either by the client or others. If for any reason, future investigations should prove any data to be in substantial variance with that presented in this report, the author reserves the right to alter or change any or all conclusions and/or estimates of value.
17. This report is null and void if used in any connection with a real estate syndicate or syndication, defined as a general or limited partnership, joint venture, unincorporated association or similar organization formed for or engaged in investment or gain from an interest in real property, including but not limited to a sale, exchange, trade, development, or lease of property on behalf of others, or which is required to be registered with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission or any Federal or State Agency which regulates investments made as a public offering.
18. The American Disabilities Act of 1990 (ADA) went into effect in January 1992. We are not legal experts with regard to the ADA requirements. Neither the client nor property owner informed us of any impending improvements planned to meet the ADA requirement. Should improvements become necessary, the cost of such improvements would need to be deducted from our final market value estimate.
19. This report has been prepared for the exclusive benefit of T-Mobile. It may not be used or relied upon by any other party. Any party who uses or relies upon any information in this report, without the preparer's written consent, does so at their own risk.
20. ACCEPTANCE OF, AND/OR USE OF, THIS REPORT CONSTITUTES ACCEPTANCE OF THE ABOVE CONDITIONS.




GREYSTONE

VALUATION SERVICES, INC.

CERTIFICATION

I certify that, to the best of my knowledge and belief:

- The statements of fact contained in this appraisal report are true and correct.
- The reported analyses, opinions, and conclusions are limited only by the reported assumptions and limiting conditions, and is my personal, impartial, and unbiased professional analyses, opinions, and conclusions.
- I have no present or prospective interest in the property that is the subject of this report, and I have no personal interest with respect to the parties involved.
- I have no bias with respect to the property that is the subject of this report or to the parties involved with this assignment.
- My engagement in this assignment was not contingent upon developing or reporting predetermined results.
- My compensation for completing this assignment is not contingent upon the development or reporting of a predetermined opinion or direction in opinion that favors the cause of the client, the amount of a value opinion, the attainment of a stipulated result, or the occurrence of a subsequent event directly related to the intended use of this appraisal.
- My analyses, opinions, and conclusions were developed, and this report has been prepared, in conformity with the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice, the Code of Professional Ethics and the Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice of the Appraisal Institute.
- The use of this report is subject to the requirements of the Appraisal Institute relating to review by its duly authorized representatives.
- No one provided significant professional assistance to the persons signing this report.
- As of the date of this report, Harris B. Simpson has completed the requirements of the continuing education program of the Appraisal Institute.



Harris B. Simpson, MAI, CRE
Certified General Real Property Appraiser
Georgia 003144



R. Lane Kaufman
State Registered Real Property Appraiser
Georgia 334881

March 24, 2010

Date

March 24, 2010

Date



HARRIS "BO" SIMPSON, MAI, CRE

PROFESSIONAL EXPERIENCE

- Principal, Greystone Valuation Services, Inc. (May 2003 – Present)
- Associated with LandAmerica, real estate appraisers and consultants, Atlanta, Georgia (May 2001 to June 2003) (Acquired Curry/Carter & Associates, Inc.)
- Associated with Curry/Carter & Associates, Inc., Real Estate Appraisers and Consultants, Atlanta, Georgia (March 1989 to April 2001)
- Self-Employed as a freelance residential and office renovator from January 1986 to December 1988
- Associated with Dozier Properties, Residential Home Builders, Norcross, Georgia, July 1982 to January 1986

CERTIFICATIONS AND LICENSING

- Certified General Real Property Appraiser, State of Georgia, Certificate No. 003144

PROFESSIONAL ASSOCIATION

- Appraisal Institute, MAI, Member Certificate No. 11924
- CRE, The Counselors of Real Estate, Certificate No. 3205

ACADEMIC BACKGROUND

- Graduate of The Baylor School, Chattanooga, Tennessee
- Bachelor of Business Administration, Georgia State University, Atlanta, Georgia. Major, Real Estate and Urban Affairs

COURSES AND SEMINARS

Successfully challenged the following examinations offered by the American Institute of Real Estate Appraisers:

- 1A-1 Real Estate Appraisal Principles
- 1A-2 Basic Valuation Procedures
- 1B-A Capitalization Theory & Techniques, Part A
- 1B-B Capitalization Theory & Techniques, Part B

Successfully attended and passed the following courses offered by the American Institute of Real Estate Appraisers

- 2-1 Case Studies in Real Estate Valuation
- 2-2 Report Writing.
- 2-3 Standards of Professional Practice

TYPES OF PROPERTIES APPRAISED

- Numerous appraisals and/or consultations involving the following types of properties: single and multifamily residential; commercial and industrial properties, including office, medical office, office/warehouse, office condominium, shopping center, restaurant, hotel/motel, vet clinic, dry cleaner, golf and country club, subdivision development, rural land, timber land, service station, church and special use/alternate use/conversion projects including loft-style office, apartment and condominium uses; telecommunication structures
- Special assignments including rezoning, highest and best use, feasibility and marketability studies. Qualified as expert witness in Cobb County Superior Court, DeKalb County Superior Court, Fulton County Superior Court and Federal Court in Maryland



R. LANE KAUFMAN

PROFESSIONAL EXPERIENCE

- Associated with, Greystone Valuation Services, Inc. (April 2008 – Present)
- Experience as Vice President with Wall Street Capital Funding (February 2007 to February 2008)
- Experience as Mortgage Broker with American Equity Mortgage (January 2004 to January 2007)
- Experience as Registered Securities Representative and Financial Advisor with American Express Financial Advisors and Met Life (February 2002 – December 2004)
- Experience as Area Manager with OneSource and Central Parking System (1992 to 2002)

CERTIFICATION AND LICENSING

State Registered Real Property Appraiser, State of Georgia, Certificate No. 334881

PROFESSIONAL ASSOCIATION

Associate Member of the Appraisal Institute

ACADEMIC BACKGROUND

Graduate of University of Tennessee, Knoxville, B.A. in Psychology, 1995

COURSES AND SEMINARS

Successfully completed the following Appraisal Institute courses:

- Basic Appraisal Principles
- Basic Appraisal Procedures
- 15-Hour National USPAP Course
- Residential Report Writing and case Studies

TYPES OF PROPERTIES APPRAISED

Assisted in appraisals involving the following types of properties: commercial and industrial properties including restaurants, shopping centers, storefront and freestanding retail, warehouses, office buildings/condominiums, auto sales/repair, convenience stores, religious service properties, single-family subdivisions, town-home developments, high-rise condominium buildings, mixed-use projects and vacant land.




PHOTOGRAPHS AND EXHIBIT OF EXISTING
WIRELESS TELECOMMUNICATION STRUCTURE IN
CEDAR GROVE LANDING SUBDIVISION IN SOUTH FULTON COUNTY



GREYSTONE

VALUATION SERVICES, INC.


LOCATON	TOWER DATA	SUBDIVISION
6980 Cedar Grove Road Fulton County Latitude: 33.5783 Longitude: -84.6342	250' Lattice Tower June 2001 Owner: American Towers Inc.	Cedar Grove Landing Construction: 2005-2007



This telecommunication tower was constructed prior to the subdivision in which it is located. Sales in this subdivision were analyzed in two groups; sales in the first group were in close proximity to the tower and sales in the second group were not close to the tower. Sales were chosen based on available sales data, time period in which the home sold and conformity of construction design. The tax plat map is presented in the following exhibit with the tower highlighted red. The home sales selected for our analysis in close proximity to the tower are highlighted blue. The home sales selected that are not in close proximity to the tower and do not have a clear view of the tower are highlighted in green.

GREYSTONE

VALUATION SERVICES, INC.



Historic home sales nearest to the telecommunication tower exhibit sale prices similar to that of other homes within the subdivision. Sales are profiled in the following exhibit.

Homes in close proximity to tower					
	<u>Date of Sale</u>	<u>Address</u>	<u>Sale Price</u>	<u>Unit SF</u>	<u>Price/SF</u>
1	Oct-07	7394 Spoleto Loop	\$196,990	2,630	\$74.90
2	Apr-08	7398 Spoleto Loop	\$187,677	3,108	\$60.39
3	Aug-08	7385 Spoleto Loop	\$190,000	2,976	\$63.84
4	Sep-08	7441 Spoleto Loop	\$191,898	3,766	\$50.96
5	Jul-08	7545 Spoleto Loop	\$161,925	2,118	\$76.45
6	Nov-07	7541 Spoleto Loop	\$169,740	2,304	\$73.67
7	Dec-07	7413 Spoleto Loop	\$180,990	3,180	\$56.92
8	May-08	7409 Spoleto Loop	\$175,990	3,126	\$56.30
	Average				\$64.18
Homes not in close proximity to tower					
9	Nov-07	7325 Spoleto Loop	\$178,873	2,513	\$71.18
10	Oct-08	7321 Spoleto Loop	\$173,000	3,692	\$46.86
11	May-08	7305 Spoleto Loop	\$185,000	3,288	\$56.27
12	Apr-08	7301 Spoleto Loop	\$179,846	2,854	\$63.02
13	Jul-08	628 Assolas Court	\$206,790	3,314	\$62.40
14	Nov-07	613 Assolas Court	\$181,990	2,692	\$67.60
15	Mar-08	603 Assolas Court	\$218,900	3,244	\$67.48
16	Aug-07	633 Assolas Court	\$202,093	3,108	\$65.02
	Average				\$62.48

GREYSTONE

VALUATION SERVICES, INC.

We interviewed current homeowners as well as real estate agents of residences in the immediate area surrounding the tower. These conversations indicated that the tower was not a consideration in the purchase of the home. We cite the following information based on our interviews with market participants.

- Ms. Moore, the owner of 7413 Spoleto Loop stated that the tower did not have an impact on her decision to buy the home or on the sale price of the home. 7413 Spoleto Loop is directly across the street from the tower. The base of the tower is approximately 20 feet from the street.
- Ms. Crystal Milliner was a listing broker for six months during 2009 representing the developer of the subdivision. She was the listing agent for 7401 and 7450 Spoleto Loop, both of which sold in July 2009. She stated that, to her knowledge, the tower did not affect the marketability or sale price of the two homes, or of any other homes in the subdivision. The house at 7401 Spoleto Loop is similar in location to 7413 Spoleto Loop in that it is across the street from the tower.
- Ms. Melody Lyons was also previously a listing agent during 2009 for the developer in Cedar Grove Landing. She was not aware of any affect the tower may have had on the marketability of homes in the neighborhood.
- Mr. Howard Young was the selling agent for the previously mentioned home at 7450 Spoleto Loop. He stated that there was no adjustment made to the sale price due to a cellular tower. He further commented that he has "sold many homes over the last several years from Loganville to Henry County that are in close proximity to telecommunication towers." None of the sales to his knowledge were negatively affected by the presence of a tower.
- Ms. Felicia Williams, the listing agent for 7549 Spoleto Loop, stated that the sale price was not negotiated downward due to the cellular tower. The home is adjacent to the west of the tower and the property boundary is within 100 feet of the base of the tower.



Overall, the data indicates that the telecommunication tower has no impact on sale prices or marketability.

PHOTOGRAPHS AND EXHIBIT OF EXISTING
TELECOMMUNICATION STRUCTURE IN
RIVERTOWN MILL SUBDIVISION IN SOUTH FULTON COUNTY

GREYSTONE

VALUATION SERVICES, INC.

LOCATON

6980 Cedar Grove Road
Fulton County
Latitude: 33.5783
Longitude: -84.6342

TOWER DATA

250' Lattice Tower
June 2001
Owner: American Towers Inc.

SUBDIVISION


Rivertown Mill
Construction: 2005-2008



This telecommunication tower is adjacent to the north of Rivertown Mill subdivision, and was constructed prior to the subdivision. The tax plat map is presented in the following exhibit with the tower highlighted green. The home sales selected for our analysis in close proximity to the tower are highlighted in blue. The home sales selected that are not in close proximity to the tower, and that do not have a clear view of the tower are highlighted in yellow.

GREYSTONE

VALUATION SERVICES, INC.



Historic home sales nearest to the telecommunication tower exhibit sale prices similar to that of other homes within the subdivision. Sales are profiled in the following exhibit.

Homes in close proximity to tower					
	<u>Date of Sale</u>	<u>Address</u>	<u>Sale Price</u>	<u>Unit SF</u>	<u>Price/SF</u>
1	May-05	6693 Delaware Bend	\$174,970	2,656	\$65.88
2	Jun-05	6705 Delaware Bend	\$186,515	2,640	\$70.65
3	Sep-05	6708 Delaware Bend	\$197,655	2,300	\$85.94
4	Nov-05	6608 Tiber Lane	\$187,840	2,640	\$71.15
5	Mar-06	6700 Delaware Bend	\$238,128	2,852	\$83.50
6	Apr-06	6697 Delaware Bend	\$219,675	2,852	\$77.02
7	May-06	6696 Delaware Bend	\$204,552	2,450	\$83.49
8	Jun-06	6601 Tiber Lane	\$210,810	2,348	\$89.78
9	Sep-06	6684 Delaware Bend	\$228,000	2,316	\$98.45
10	Sep-06	6600 Tiber Lane	\$235,637	2,802	\$84.10
	Average				\$81.00
Homes not in close proximity to tower					
11	Mar-05	6757 Delaware Bend	\$201,575	3,004	\$67.10
12	Apr-05	6752 Delaware Bend	\$223,275	2,852	\$78.29
13	Jun-05	6632 Delaware Bend	\$218,851	2,450	\$89.33
14	Aug-05	6624 Delaware Bend	\$198,005	2,450	\$80.82
15	Nov-05	7578 Brazos Trail	\$176,265	2,400	\$73.44
16	Dec-05	6636 Delaware Bend	\$206,375	2,300	\$89.73
17	Feb-06	6648 Delaware Bend	\$205,316	2,400	\$85.55
18	May-06	6652 Delaware Bend	\$239,208	2,852	\$83.87
19	Sep-06	7579 Brazos Trail	\$178,655	2,400	\$74.44
	Average				\$80.29

GREYSTONE

VALUATION SERVICES, INC.

We interviewed current homeowners as well as real estate agents of residences in the immediate area surrounding the tower. These conversations indicated that the tower was not a consideration in the purchase of the home. We cite the following information based on our interviews with market participants.

- The owner of 6604 Tiber Lane stated that the tower did not affect the negotiations of the sale of the home. This home is the second-to-last home on the Tiber Lane cul-de-sac that abuts the tower property. The following photograph was taken from the front porch of 6604 Tiber Lane.



- The occupant of 6693 Delaware Bend currently rents the home from the property owner. The tenant stated that he does not pay a lower rental rate due to the presence of the cellular tower. The following photograph was taken from the front porch of 6693 Delaware Bend and includes a view of 6692 and 6696 Delaware Bend.



- The selling agent for 220 Hudson Ridge, Ms. Debbie Fuller, stated that the tower did not affect the sale, which took place in December 2009. The following photograph is a view from 220 Hudson Ridge.



Overall, the data indicates that the telecommunication tower has no impact on sale prices or marketability.

PHOTOGRAPHS AND EXHIBIT OF EXISTING
TELECOMMUNICATION STRUCTURE IN
BURDETT RIDGE SUBDIVISION, SOUTH FULTON COUNTY

GREYSTONE

VALUATION SERVICES, INC.

LOCATON

Burdett Park
College Park, Fulton County
Latitude: 33.5978
Longitude: -84.4819

TOWER DATA

372' Lattice Tower
August 1999
Owner: Fulton County

SUBDIVISION


Burdett Ridge
Construction: 1998-2000



This telecommunication tower is adjacent to the north of Burdett Ridge subdivision, and was erected during the construction of the subdivision. We compared sales of homes built prior to the construction of the tower and homes built after the construction of the tower. The tax plat map is presented in the following exhibit with the tower highlighted green. The home sales in close proximity to the tower that were sold before the tower was constructed are highlighted in blue. The home sales in close proximity to the tower that were sold after the tower was constructed are highlighted in yellow.

GREYSTONE

VALUATION SERVICES, INC.



Historic home sales prior to the telecommunication tower construction exhibit sale prices similar to that of sales after the construction of the tower. Sales are profiled in the following exhibit.

GROUP A: Homes Sold Prior to Construction of Tower									
	<u>Date of Sale</u>	<u>Address</u>	<u>Sale Price</u>	<u>Unit SF</u>	<u>Price/SF</u>	<u>Resale Date</u>	<u>Sale Price</u>	<u>Price/SF</u>	<u>Annual Appreciation</u>
1	Apr-99	465 Burdett Ridge Ct	\$112,800	1,816	\$62.11	Dec-00	\$139,800	\$76.98	8.9%
2	Apr-99	455 Burdett Ridge Ct	\$114,950	1,804	\$63.72				
3	Jun-99	445 Burdett Ridge Ct	\$116,800	1,528	\$76.44	Jun-03	\$130,000	\$85.08	2.2%
4	Jun-99	435 Burdett Ridge Ct	\$119,400	1,804	\$66.19				
5	Jul-99	470 Burdett Ridge Ct	\$115,000	1,931	\$59.55				
	Average				\$65.60				
GROUP B: Homes Sold After Construction of Tower									
6	Oct-99	400 Burdett Ridge Ct	\$132,700	1,876	\$70.74	(No homes in Group B have resold.)			
7	Oct-99	475 Burdett Ridge Ct	\$126,600	1,876	\$67.48				
8	Nov-99	430 Burdett Ridge Ct	\$129,625	1,876	\$69.10				
9	Dec-99	410 Burdett Ridge Ct	\$108,750	1,510	\$72.02				
10	Dec-99	440 Burdett Ridge Ct	\$118,475	1,705	\$69.49				
	Average				\$69.76				

We interviewed current homeowners of residences in the immediate area surrounding the tower. These conversations indicated that the tower was not a consideration in the purchase of the home. We cite the following information based on our interviews with home owners.


GREYSTONE

VALUATION SERVICES, INC.

- The homes at 465 and 445 Burdett Ridge Court both resold after the tower was constructed. The current owners stated that the tower was not a consideration during the negotiation of the purchases.
- Ms. Glenda Algere, the owner of 440 Burdett Ridge Court, purchased her home four months after the tower was constructed. She stated that it was not a consideration in the purchase of her home.

Overall, the data indicates that the telecommunication tower has no impact on sale prices or marketability.

The following exhibit contains photographs of typical views of the communications tower and homes included in our analysis.



GREYSTONE

VALUATION SERVICES, INC.

EXHIBIT D

PHOTOGRAPHS AND EXHIBIT OF EXISTING
TELECOMMUNICATION STRUCTURE IN
THE LITCHFIELD HUNDRED SUBDIVISION,
NORTH FULTON COUNTY

GREYSTONE

VALUATION SERVICES, INC.

LOCATON

1920 Lackey Road
Mountain Park, Fulton County
Latitude: 34.1044
Longitude: -84.3908

TOWER DATA

280' Lattice Tower
April 9, 1999
Owner: SBA Towers, Inc.

SUBDIVISION


Litchfield Hundred
(New Phase)
Const.: 2005-Current



This telecommunication tower is adjacent to the east of the Litchfield Hundred subdivision, and was constructed prior to the residences. A tax plat map is presented in the following exhibit with the tower highlighted green. The home sales selected for our analysis in close proximity to the tower are highlighted in blue. The home sales selected that are not in close proximity to the tower, and do not have a clear view of the tower are highlighted in yellow.

GREYSTONE

VALUATION SERVICES, INC.



Historic home sales nearest to the telecommunication tower exhibit sale prices slightly higher than sales of other homes within the subdivision. Sales are profiled in the following exhibit.

Homes Sold in Close Proximity to Tower					
	<u>Date of Sale</u>	<u>Address</u>	<u>Sale Price</u>	<u>Unit SF</u>	<u>Price/SF</u>
1	Apr-07	1245 Cashiers Way	\$1,413,400	6,075	\$232.66
2	May-07	1290 Cashiers Way	\$1,200,700	6,064	\$198.00
3	Sep-06	13325 Bishops Court	\$1,263,900	5,881	\$214.91
4	May-07	13335 Bishops Court	\$1,200,000	4,946	\$242.62
	Average				\$222.05
Homes Sold not in Close Proximity to Tower					
11	Jun-06	1405 Cashiers Way	\$949,900	4,870	\$195.05
12	May-06	13260 Addison Road	\$1,290,000	6,020	\$214.29
13	Jun-06	13265 Addison Road	\$989,900	5,132	\$192.89
14	Aug-06	13275 Addison Road	\$1,115,000	5,823	\$191.48
	Average				\$198.43

We interviewed current homeowners as well as real estate agents of residences in the immediate area near the tower. These conversations indicated that the tower was not a consideration in the purchase of the home. We cite the following information based on our interviews with market participants.

GREYSTONE

VALUATION SERVICES, INC.

- The homeowner at 1245 Cashiers Way, Ms. Shannon Johnson, stated that the tower did not affect the purchase price of the home. This photograph was taken from the Cashiers Way cul-de-sac between 1245 and 1255 Cashiers Way.



- Mr. Kevin Austin, the owner of 1290 Cashiers Way, stated the close proximity of the communications tower did not affect the purchase price of the home. This photograph was taken from the street in front of 1290 Cashiers Way.



Overall, the data indicates that the telecommunication tower has no impact on sale prices or marketability.

GREYSTONE

VALUATION SERVICES, INC.

EXHIBIT E

PHOTOGRAPHS AND EXHIBIT OF EXISTING
TELECOMMUNICATION STRUCTURE IN
THE SOUTHFIELD SUBDIVISION, NORTH FULTON COUNTY

GREYSTONE

VALUATION SERVICES, INC.

LOCATON

632 North Main Street
Alpharetta, Fulton County
Latitude: 34.0933
Longitude: -84.2914

TOWER DATA

199' Monopole
December 1998
Owner: SBA Towers, Inc.


SUBDIVISION

Southfield
Construction: 2001-2003



This telecommunication tower is adjacent to the east from the Southfield subdivision, and was constructed prior to the construction of the subdivision. A tax plat map is presented in the following exhibit with the tower highlighted green. The home sales selected for our analysis in close proximity to the tower are highlighted in blue. The home sales selected that are not in close proximity to the tower, and do not have a clear view of the tower are highlighted in yellow.

VALUATION SERVICES, INC.



Historic home sales nearest to the telecommunication tower exhibit sale prices similar to that of other homes within the subdivision. Sales are profiled in the following exhibit.

GREYSTONE

VALUATION SERVICES, INC.

Homes Sold in Close Proximity to Tower									
	<u>Date of Sale</u>	<u>Address</u>	<u>Sale Price</u>	<u>Unit SF</u>	<u>Price/SF</u>	<u>Resale Date</u>	<u>Sale Price</u>	<u>Price/SF</u>	<u>Annual Appreciation</u>
1	Sep-01	605 Southfield Place	\$403,711	3,206	\$125.92				
2	Oct-01	615 Southfield Place	\$365,000	3,176	\$114.92				
3	Feb-02	835 Southfield Lane	\$319,000	3,364	\$94.83	Jun-04	\$355,000	\$105.53	4.6%
4	Jun-02	810 Southfield Lane	\$383,021	3,155	\$121.40				
5	Jun-02	820 Southfield Lane	\$315,981	2,760	\$114.49				
6	Sep-02	845 Southfield Lane	\$331,000	3,454	\$95.83				
7	Jul-03	610 Southfield Place	\$323,000	2,798	\$115.44	Jan-06	\$364,000	\$130.09	5.8%
	Average				\$111.83				5.2%
Homes Sold, not in Close Proximity to Tower									
8	Nov-01	940 Southfield Lane	\$316,183	2,711	\$116.63				
9	Dec-01	865 Southfield Lane	\$327,800	3,110	\$105.40	Oct-05	\$365,000	\$117.36	3.1%
10	Jan-02	710 Southfield Lane	\$345,000	2,877	\$119.92	May-05	\$399,500	\$138.86	5.7%
11	Mar-02	930 Southfield Lane	\$320,613	2,881	\$111.29				
12	May-02	730 Southfield Lane	\$350,270	2,959	\$118.37				
13	May-02	740 Southfield Lane	\$367,874	2,830	\$129.99				
14	Sep-02	920 Southfield Lane	\$335,000	3,272	\$102.38				
15	Jan-03	910 Southfield Lane	\$328,953	3,612	\$91.07	Sep-05	\$366,800	\$101.55	3.9%
	Average				\$111.88				4.2%


We attempted to interview current homeowners of residences in the immediate area surrounding the tower. However, none of the homeowners of the nine residences selected for our comparison were available when we observed the subdivision. None of these nine residences have sold in the last two years. However, 845 Southfield Lane is currently listed for sale. The listing broker, Ms. Megan Dwyer, stated that the home has been on the market approximately 30 days and that the proximity of the tower has no influence on the asking price or anticipated value.

Overall, the data indicates that the telecommunication tower has no impact on sale prices, appreciation or marketability.

GREYSTONE

VALUATION SERVICES, INC.

The following exhibit contains photographs of typical views of the communications tower and homes included in our analysis.



GREYSTONE

VALUATION SERVICES, INC.

EXHIBIT F

PHOTOGRAPHS AND EXHIBIT OF EXISTING
TELECOMMUNICATION STRUCTURE IN
CABOTS COVE SUBDIVISION, NORTH FULTON COUNTY

GREYSTONE

VALUATION SERVICES, INC.


LOCATON	TOWER DATA	SUBDIVISION
3550 Spruell Circle Alpharetta, Fulton County Latitude: 34.051 Longitude: -84.256	145' Tripole Tower Constructed prior to 1999 Owner: AT&T Communications Corporation, d/b/a Muayyad Mustafa	Cabots Cove Construction: 1999



This telecommunication tower is adjacent to the east of Cabots Cove subdivision, and was constructed prior to the subdivision. A tax plat map is presented in the following exhibit with the tower highlighted green. The home sales selected for our analysis in close proximity to the tower are highlighted in blue. The home sales selected that are not in close proximity to the tower, and that do not have a clear view of the tower are highlighted in yellow. The slightly sloping topography limits the visibility of the tower from the front portion of the subdivision.

GREYSTONE

VALUATION SERVICES, INC.



Historic home sales nearest to the telecommunication tower exhibit sale prices very similar to that of other homes within the subdivision. Sales are profiled in the following exhibit.

GROUP A: Homes Sold in Close Proximity to Tower									
	Date of Sale	Address	Sale Price	Unit SF	Price/SF	Resale Date	Sale Price	Price/SF	Annual Appreciation
1	Jun-99	160 Cabots Cove Court	\$201,000	2,753	\$73.01	Feb-02	\$215,000	\$78.10	1.9%
2	May-99	170 Cabots Cove Court	\$199,700	2,818	\$70.87				
3	Apr-99	180 Cabots Cove Court	\$239,700	2,645	\$90.62				
4	Apr-99	190 Cabots Cove Court	\$230,283	2,520	\$91.38				
5	Jul-99	195 Cabots Cove Court	\$200,000	2,785	\$71.81	Mar-02	\$232,000	\$83.30	4.3%
6	Jul-99	185 Cabots Cove Court	\$236,000	2,588	\$91.19				
7	May-99	175 Cabots Cove Court	\$210,000	2,558	\$82.10	Jul-03	\$224,000	\$87.57	1.3%
8	May-99	165 Cabots Cove Court	\$230,448	2,870	\$80.30				
	Average				\$81.41				1.9%
GROUP B: Homes Sold, not in Close Proximity to Tower									
9	May-99	100 Cabots Cove Court	\$210,800	2,785	\$75.69				
10	May-99	120 Cabots Cove Court	\$221,700	2,648	\$83.72				
11	Jul-99	130 Cabots Cove Court	\$218,750	2,705	\$80.87	Mar-04	\$233,000	\$86.14	1.1%
12	Sep-99	140 Cabots Cove Court	\$226,000	2,632	\$85.87				
	Average				\$81.54				

GREYSTONE

VALUATION SERVICES, INC.

We interviewed current homeowners of residences in the subdivision. These conversations indicated that the tower was not a consideration in the purchase of their homes. No homes in Group A of our analysis have sold in the last two years; therefore, no broker interviews were made. We cite the following information based on our interviews with residents.

- Ms. Kuo Lung, the homeowner of 180 Cabots Cove Court stated that she did not pay a discounted purchase price for the home due to the close proximity of the tower. She has occupied the residence for 2½ years. This photograph was taken from the front porch of 180 Cabots Cove Court with a view of 175 Cabots Cove Court and the tower.



- Ms. Surjit Sahoo, the homeowner of 185 Cabots Cove Court stated that the tower did not affect her decision to purchase the home or the purchase price. This photograph was taken from the front porch of the home at 185 Cabots Cove Court.



- The homeowner of 165 Cabots Cove Court, Mr. Gary Eidell, stated that the tower was previously constructed before he purchased his home in May 1999 and that it did not have an effect on the purchase price of his home.
- Ms. Christina Donnolo, the homeowner of 155 Cabots Cove Court, stated that the close proximity of the tower to her home did not have an effect on the purchase price of her home.

Overall, the data indicates that the telecommunication tower has no impact on sale prices or marketability.

GREYSTONE

VALUATION SERVICES, INC.

EXHIBIT G

PHOTOGRAPHS AND EXHIBIT OF EXISTING
TELECOMMUNICATION STRUCTURE IN
THE CHASTAIN PARK AREA, FULTON COUNTY

GREYSTONE

VALUATION SERVICES, INC.


LOCATON	TOWER DATA	NEIGHBORHOOD
3920 Lake Forrest Drive Atlanta, Fulton County Latitude: 33.8621 Longitude: -84.3878	199.8' Lattice Tower October 31, 2001 Owner: City of Atlanta Police Department	Chastain Park Area



This communications tower is located on a hilltop, adjacent to the southern end of Chastain Park. Two groups of sales were analyzed; one group of sales is in close proximity to the tower and the second group of sales is not close to the tower. A location map is presented in the following exhibit with the sales and tower shown.

GREYSTONE

VALUATION SERVICES, INC.



Historic rates of appreciation for home sales near the telecommunication tower exhibit rates similar to that of home sales that are not in close proximity to the tower. Sales are profiled in the following exhibit. The tower was constructed in October 2001.

Sales in close proximity to tower

Sale No.	Address	1st Sale Date	1st Sale Price	2nd Sale Date	2nd Sale Price	Years between sales	Appreciation per year
1	3935 Lake Forrest Dr.	Mar-00	\$325,500	Dec-04	\$338,530	4.8	0.8%
2	3900 Lake Forrest Dr.	Apr-01	\$377,600	Jun-04	\$425,000	3.2	3.8%
3	3897 Powers Ferry Rd.	Jul-98	\$443,000	Oct-02	\$495,000	4.3	2.6%
4	40 Putnum Dr.	Apr-99	\$687,000	Jun-04	\$890,000	5.2	5.1%
5	141 Laurel Forest Cir.	Mar-01	\$505,000	Jul-06	\$608,500	5.3	3.6%
						4.5	3.2%

Sales not in close proximity to tower

Sale No.	Address	1st Sale Date	1st Sale Price	2nd Sale Date	2nd Sale Price	Years between sales	Appreciation per year
6	4705 Lake Forrest Dr.	Apr-99	\$291,400	Apr-04	\$340,000	5.0	3.1%
7	4704 Lake Forrest Dr.	Sep-99	\$355,200	Dec-05	\$425,000	6.3	2.9%
8	4799 Lake Forrest Dr.	Mar-01	\$318,000	Oct-04	\$362,500	3.6	3.7%
9	225 Danbury Lane	Jan-01	\$481,000	Jun-04	\$560,500	3.4	4.6%
10	70 Forrest Lake Drive	Mar-00	\$468,000	Jun-02	\$486,000	2.3	1.7%
						4.1	3.2%

We interviewed current homeowners in the immediate area surrounding the tower. These conversations indicated that the tower was not a consideration in the purchase of their homes. We cite the following information based on our interviews with market participants.

GREYSTONE

VALUATION SERVICES, INC.

- Ms. Carolyn Wade, owner of 10 Putnam Drive, stated that the tower did not affect the purchase price of her home.
- Ms. Joanna Schachner, owner of 141 Laurel Forest Circle, stated that the tower did not have an impact on the decision to buy her home or on the purchase price of the home.
- Ms. Toni Newsome, the owner of 3900 Lake Forrest Drive stated that the proximity of the tower had no impact on the purchase price of her home.

Overall, the data indicate that the telecommunication structure does not have any impact on sale prices or the rates of appreciation.

The following exhibit contains additional photographs of typical views of the telecommunication tower analysis.




EXHIBIT H

GLOSSARY

GREYSTONE

VALUATION SERVICES, INC.

Absorption: The net effect of activity, which self corrects for lateral movement, renewals and change of space size. Activity is defined as the gross number of square feet on signed leases, which includes movement from space to space and expansions.

Arm's-Length Transaction: A transaction arrived at in the open market by unrelated parties under no duress.

Assessed Value: The value of a property according to tax rolls in ad valorem taxation. May be higher or lower than market value, or based on an assessment ratio that is a percentage of market value.¹

Bondable Lease: A bondable lease is the highest quality absolute net lease. In this lease structure, a bond rated credit tenant is required to pay all expenses related to the subject property. Additionally, it is the intent of such a lease that the obligations of the tenant (rent payments, etc.) are not to be interrupted for any reason including any damage or destruction of the leased premises or condemnation. This type of lease results in the least risk to the landlord.

Bulk Warehouse Space: Industrial properties that have less than 10 percent office space, dock high doors, bay depths of 190' and up, and ceiling heights of 24' or greater. These facilities are typically used for bulk storage, heavy manufacturing, or as large industrial plants.

Capital Expenditure: Investments of cash or the creation of liability to acquire or improve an asset, e.g., land, buildings, building additions, site improvements, machinery, equipment; as distinguished from cash outflows for expense items that are normally considered part of the current period's operations.²

Cash Equivalency Analysis: The procedure in which the sale prices of comparable properties sold with atypical financing are adjusted to reflect typical market terms.³

Condominium: A condominium is a multiunit structure or property in which persons hold fee simple title to individual units and an undivided interest in common areas.

Condominium Interest: A condominium interest is defined as a form of fee ownership of separate units or portions of multiunit buildings that provides for formal filing and recording of a divided interest in real property, where the division is vertical as well as horizontal; fee ownership of units in a multiunit property with joint ownership of common areas.

Cost Approach: This approach is based on the premise that an informed purchaser would pay no more than the cost of producing a substitute property with the same utility as the subject property. The analysis involves estimating the current cost (including both direct and indirect costs) to construct a replacement for the existing structure and related site improvements, deducting for evidence of accrued depreciation, and adding the estimated land value.

Deferred Maintenance: Curable, physical deterioration that should be corrected immediately, although work has not commenced; denotes the need for immediate expenditures,

but does not necessarily suggest inadequate maintenance in the past.⁴

Disposition Value: The most probable price that a specified interest in real property is likely to bring under all of the following conditions:

- (1) Consummation of a sale will occur within a limited future marketing period specified by the client.
- (2) The actual market conditions currently prevailing are those to which the appraised property interest is subject.
- (3) The buyer and seller is each acting prudently and knowledgeably.
- (4) The seller is under compulsion to sell.
- (5) The buyer is typically motivated.
- (6) Both parties are acting in what they consider their best interests.
- (7) An adequate marketing effort will be made in the limited time allowed for the completion of a sale.
- (8) Payment will be made in cash in U.S. dollars or in terms of financial arrangements comparable thereto.
- (9) The price represents the normal consideration for the property sold, unaffected by special or creative financing or sales concessions granted by anyone associated with the sale.

Distribution Space: Industrial properties that have less than 50 percent office space, dock high doors, and ceiling heights of 17' or greater.

Economic Life: The period of time over which improvements to real estate contribute to property value.⁵

Effective Date of the Appraisal: The date at which the value opinion in an appraisal applies, which may or may not be the date of observation; the date of the market conditions that provide the context for the value opinion.⁶

Effective Gross Income Multiplier (EGIM): The ratio between the sale price (or value) of a property and its effective gross income.⁸

Effective Rent: 1) The rental rate net of financial concessions such as periods of no rent during a lease term; may be calculated on a discounted basis, reflecting the time value of money, or on a simple, straight-line basis.⁷ 2) The economic rent paid by the lessee when normalized to account for financial concessions, such as escalation clauses, and other factors. Contract, or normal, rents must be converted to effective rents to form a consistent basis of comparison between comparable properties.

Exposure (Time): The time a property remains on the market; the estimated length of time the property interest being appraised would have been offered on the market prior to the hypothetical consummation of a sale at market value on the effective date of the appraisal; a retrospective estimate based upon an analysis of past events assuming a competitive and open market. Exposure time is always presumed to occur prior to the effective date of the appraisal. The overall concept of reasonable exposure encompasses

¹ The Dictionary of Real Estate Appraisal, Third Edition, 1993, p. 22.

² The Dictionary of Real Estate Appraisal, Third Edition, 1993, p. 51.

³ The Dictionary of Real Estate Appraisal, Third Edition, 1993, p. 47.

⁴ The Dictionary of Real Estate Appraisal, Third Edition, 1993, p. 92.

⁵ The Appraisal of Real Estate, 10th ed. (Chicago: Appraisal Institute, 1992), p. 344.

⁶ "Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice" (The Appraisal Foundation, 2003 Edition), p. 222.

⁷ The Dictionary of Real Estate Appraisal, Third Edition, 1993, p. 113.

GREYSTONE

VALUATION SERVICES, INC.

not only adequate, sufficient and reasonable time but also adequate, sufficient and reasonable effort. Exposure time is different for various types of real estate and value ranges and under various market conditions.⁸

Extraordinary Assumptions: An assumption, directly related to a specific assignment, which, if found to be false, could alter the appraiser's opinion or conclusions.⁹

Fair Value Defined: "Fair Value" is the cash price that might reasonably be anticipated in a current sale under all conditions requisite for a fair sale. A fair sale means that buyer and seller are each acting prudently, knowledgeably, and under no necessity to buy or sell, other than in a forced or liquidation sale. The appraiser should estimate the cash price that might be received upon exposure to the open market for a reasonable time, considering the property type and local market conditions. When a current sale is unlikely, i.e., when it is unlikely that the sale can be completed within 12 months, the appraiser must discount all cash flows generated by the property to obtain the estimate for fair value. The cash flows include, but are not limited to, those arising from ownership, development, operation, and sale of property. The discount applied shall reflect the appraisers' judgment of what a prudent, knowledgeable purchaser under no necessity to buy would be willing to pay to purchase the property in a current sale.

Fee Simple Estate: Absolute ownership unencumbered by any other interest or estate subject only to the four powers of government.¹⁰

Floor Area Ratio (FAR): The relationship between the above-ground floor area of a building, as described by the building code, and the area of the plot on which it stands; in planning and zoning, often expressed as a decimal, e.g., a ratio of 2.0 indicates that the permissible floor area of a building is twice the total land area; also called building-to-land ratio.⁷

Going Concern Value: Going concern value is the value of a proven property operation. It includes the incremental value associated with the business concern, which is distinct from the value of the real estate only. Going concern value includes an intangible enhancement of the value of an operating business enterprise which is produced by the assemblage of the land, building, labor, equipment, and marketing operation. This process creates an economically viable business that is expected to continue. Going concern value refers to the total value of a property, including both real property and intangible personal property attributed to the business value.¹

Gross Building Area (GBA): The sum of all areas at each floor as measured to the exterior walls.

Gross Lease: A lease in which the landlord pays taxes, insurance, and common area maintenance.

Highest and Best Use: The reasonably probable and legal use of vacant land or an improved property which is physically possible, appropriately supported, financially feasible and that results in the highest value.¹¹

Hypothetical Condition: That which is contrary to what exists but is supposed for the purpose of analysis.¹²

Income Capitalization Approach: This approach derives a value indication for income-producing property by converting anticipated monetary benefits into a property value. This conversion is typically accomplished in two ways: A direct capitalization analysis where one year's income expectancy or an annual average of several years' income expectancies may be capitalized at a market-derived capitalization rate or a capitalization rate that reflects a specified income pattern, return on investment, and change in the value of the investment; secondly, a discounted cash flow analysis where the annual cash flows for the holding period and the reversion may be discounted at a specified yield rate.

Insurable Value: Insurable Value is based on the replacement and/or reproduction cost of physical items that are subject to loss from hazards. Insurable value is that portion of the value of an asset or asset group that is acknowledged or recognized under the provisions of an applicable loss insurance policy. This value is often controlled by state law and varies from state to state.¹³

Intended Use: The use or uses of an appraiser's reported appraisal, appraisal review, or appraisal consulting assignment opinions and conclusions, as identified by the appraiser based on communication with the client at the time of the assignment.¹⁴

Intended User: The client and any other party as identified, by name or type, as users of the appraisal, appraisal review, or appraisal consulting report by the appraiser on the basis of communications with the client at the time of the assignment.¹⁵

Internal Rate of Return ("IRR"): The yield rate to the ownership position realized over the term of an investment.

Investment Value: Investment value is the value of an investment to a particular investor based on his or her investment requirements. In contrast to market value, investment value is value to an individual, not value in the marketplace. Investment value reflects the subjective relationship between a particular investor and a given investment. When measured in dollars, investment value is the price an investor would pay for an investment in light of its perceived capacity to satisfy his or her desires, needs, or investment goals. To estimate investment value, specific investment criteria must be known. Criteria to evaluate a real estate investment are not necessarily set down by the individual investor; they may be established by an expert on real estate and its value, that is, an appraiser.¹⁶

Leasehold Estate: The right to use and occupy real estate for a stated term and under certain conditions; conveyed by a lease.¹⁷

⁸ *The Dictionary of Real Estate Appraisal*, Third Edition, 1993, p. 126.

⁹ "Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice" (The Appraisal Foundation, 2004 Edition), p. 3.

¹⁰ *The Dictionary of Real Estate Appraisal*, 3rd ed. (Chicago: Appraisal Institute, 1993), p. 147.

¹¹ *The Dictionary of Real Estate Appraisal*, 3rd ed. (Chicago: Appraisal Institute), p. 171.

¹² "Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice" (The Appraisal Foundation, 2004 Edition), p. 3.

¹³ *The Appraisal of Real Estate*, 10th ed. (Chicago: Appraisal Institute, 1992), p. 27.

¹⁴ "Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice" (The Appraisal Foundation, 2004 Edition), p. 3.

¹⁵ "Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice" (The Appraisal Foundation, 2004 Edition), p. 3.

¹⁶ *The Appraisal of Real Estate*, 10th ed. (Chicago: Appraisal Institute, 1992), p. 26.

¹⁷ *The Dictionary of Real Estate Appraisal*, 3rd ed. (Chicago: Appraisal Institute, 1993), p. 177.

GREYSTONE

VALUATION SERVICES, INC.

Leased Fee Estate: An ownership interest held by a landlord with the rights of use and occupancy conveyed by lease to others. The rights of the lessor (the leased fee owner) and the leased fee are specified by contract terms contained within the lease.¹⁸

Liquidation Value: The most probable price that a specified interest in real property is likely to bring under all of the following conditions:

- (1) Consummation of a sale will occur within a severely limited future marketing period specified by the client.
- (2) The actual market conditions currently prevailing are those to which the appraised property interest is subject.
- (3) The buyer is acting prudently and knowledgeably.
- (4) The seller is under extreme compulsion to sell.
- (5) The buyer is typically motivated.
- (6) The buyer is acting in what he or she considers his or her best interest.
- (7) A limited marketing effort and time will be allowed for the completion of a sale.
- (8) Payment will be made in cash in U.S. dollars or in terms of financial arrangements comparable thereto.
- (9) The price represents the normal consideration for the property sold, unaffected by special or creative financing or sales concessions granted by anyone associated with the sale.

Load Factor: The amount added to usable area to calculate the rentable area. It is also referred to as a "rentable add-on factor" which, according to BOMA, "is computed by dividing the difference between the usable square footage and rentable square footage by the amount of the usable area. Convert the figure into a percentage by multiplying by 100".

Market Rent: The rental income that a property would most probably command in the open market; indicated by current rates paid and asked for comparable space as of the date of the appraisal.

Market Value: The most probable price which a property should bring in a competitive and open market under all conditions requisite to a fair sale, the buyer and seller each acting prudently and knowledgeably, and assuming the price is not affected by undue stimulus. Implicit in this definition is the consummation of a sale as of a specific date and the passing of title from seller to buyer under conditions whereby:

- (1) Buyer and seller are typically motivated;
- (2) Both parties are well informed or well advised, and acting in what they consider their own best interests;
- (3) A reasonable time is allowed for exposure in the open market;
- (4) Payment is made in terms of cash in U.S. dollars or in terms of financial arrangements comparable thereto; and
- (5) The price represents the normal consideration for the property sold unaffected by special or creative financing or sales concessions granted by anyone associated with the sale."¹⁹

Market Value "As If Complete" On The Appraisal Date:

Market value as if complete on the appraisal date is an estimate of the market value of a property with all construction, conversion, or rehabilitation hypothetically completed, or under other specified hypothetical conditions as of the date of the appraisal. With regard to properties wherein anticipated market conditions indicate that stabilized occupancy is not likely as of the date of completion, this estimate of value should reflect the market value of the property as if complete and prepared for occupancy by tenants.

Market Value "As Is" On The Appraisal Date:

Market value "as is" on the appraisal date is an estimate of the market value of a property in the condition observed upon observation and as it physically and legally exists without hypothetical conditions, assumptions, or qualifications as of the date of appraisal.

Marketing Period: The time it takes an interest in real property to sell on the market subsequent to the date of an appraisal.²⁰

Modified Gross: A lease arrangement that requires the landlord to pay structural repairs and maintenance, as well as tax and insurance expenses for a base year. The tenant pays increases over that base.

Net Lease: Lease in which all or some of the operating expenses are paid directly by the tenant. In a Triple Net Lease all operating expenses are the responsibility of the tenant, including property taxes, insurance, interior maintenance, and other miscellaneous expenses. However, management fees and exterior maintenance are often the responsibility of the lessor in a triple net lease. A modified net lease is one in which some expenses are paid separately by the tenant and some are included in the rent.

Net Rentable Area (NRA): 1) The area on which rent is computed. 2) The Rentable Area of a floor shall be computed by measuring to the inside finished surface of the dominant portion of the permanent outer building walls, excluding any major vertical penetrations of the floor. No deductions shall be made for columns and projections necessary to the building. Include space such as mechanical room, janitorial room, restrooms, and lobby of the floor.²¹

Office/Service Space: Industrial properties that have more than 50 percent office space, drive-in truck doors, and ceiling heights of 16' or less.

Penetration Rate: The ratio of the actual market share of a submarket over the fair market share of a submarket.

Prospective Value: Prospective value estimates are intended to reflect the current expectations and perceptions of the market participants along with available factual data. They should be judged on the market support for the forecasts when made, not whether specific items in the forecast are realized. With regard to proposed developments, two prospective value estimates may be required: as of the time the development is to be completed and as of the time the development is projected

¹⁸ *The Dictionary of Real Estate Appraisal*, 3rd ed. (Chicago: Appraisal Institute, 1993), p. 204.

¹⁹ *The Dictionary of Real Estate Appraisal*, 3rd ed. (Chicago: Appraisal Institute, 1993), p. 222.

²⁰ *The Dictionary of Real Estate Appraisal*, 3rd ed. (Chicago: Appraisal Institute, 1993), p. 220.

²¹ 1990 BOMA Experience Exchange Report, Income/Expense Analysis for Office Buildings (Building Owners and Managers Association, 1990)

GREYSTONE

VALUATION SERVICES, INC.

to achieve stabilized occupancy. These prospective values form a basis for investment decisions and loan underwriting.

Reasonable Exposure Time: According to the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice, exposure time can be defined as follows: "the estimated length of time the property interest being appraised would have been offered on the market prior to the hypothetical consummation of a sale at market value on the effective date of the appraisal; a retrospective estimate based upon an analysis of past events assuming a competitive and open market".

Reconciliation: The strengths and weaknesses of the individual approaches to value may vary based on the quality and quantity of data available in each instance. The final value conclusion is based on the appraisers' judgment with respect to the appropriateness of each approach as it applies to the property being appraised.

Replacement Cost: The estimated cost to construct, at current prices as of the effective appraisal date, a building with utility equivalent to the building being appraised, using modern materials and current standards, design, and layout.²²

Reproduction Cost: The estimated cost to construct, at current prices as of the effective date of the appraisal, an exact duplicate or replica of the building being appraised, using the same materials, construction standards, design, layout, and quality of workmanship and embodying all the deficiencies, superadequacies, and obsolescence of the subject building.²³

Sales Comparison Approach: This approach derives a value indication by comparing the subject property to similar properties that have recently sold, applying appropriate units of comparison and making adjustments, based on the elements of comparison, to the sale prices of the comparables. Analysis of properties currently listed for sale is also useful in setting the upper limit of value. The overriding premise of this approach is that an informed purchaser would pay no more than the cost of acquiring an equally desirable substitute.

Scope of the Appraisal: Extent of the process in which data are collected, confirmed, and reported.²⁴

Self-Contained Appraisal Report: A written report prepared under Standards Rule 2-2(a) or 8-2(a).²⁵ A self-contained appraisal report fully describes the data and analyses used in the assignment. All appropriate information is contained within the report and not referenced to the appraiser's files.²⁶

Service Center Space: Synonymous with office/service space.

Summary Appraisal Report: A written report prepared under Standards Rule 2-2(b) or 8-2(b).²⁷ A summary report

summarizes the data and analyses used in the assignment.²⁸

Superadequacy: An excess in the capacity or quality of a structure or structural component; determined by market standards.

Triple-Net Lease: A lease arrangement that requires the tenant to pay (or reimburse the landlord for) the majority of expenses such as non-structural repairs and maintenance, taxes, insurance, and other operating expenses.

Use Value: Use value is the value a specific property has for a specific use.²⁹ Use value is a concept based on the productivity of an economic good. Use value focuses on the value the real estate contributes to the enterprise of which it is a part, without regard to the property's highest and best use or the monetary amount that might be realized upon its sale.

²² *The Dictionary of Real Estate Appraisal*, 3rd ed. (Chicago: Appraisal Institute, 1993), p. 303.

²³ *The Dictionary of Real Estate Appraisal*, 3rd ed. (Chicago: Appraisal Institute, 1993), p. 304.

²⁴ *The Dictionary of Real Estate Appraisal*, Third Edition, 1993, p. 322.

²⁵ "Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice" (The Appraisal Foundation, 2004 Edition), p. 24.

²⁶ *The Appraisal of Real Estate*, Eleventh Edition, 1996, p. 13.

²⁷ "Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice" (The Appraisal Foundation, 2004 Edition), p. 24.

²⁸ *The Appraisal of Real Estate*, Eleventh Edition, 1996, p. 13.

²⁹ *The Dictionary of Real Estate Appraisal*, Third Edition, 1993, p. 383.