

- Councilmember Wynn said this is just a side note real quick – when we were talking about the 400 interchange improvements and you said any monies left over would go to aesthetics – can we use the tree replacement fund for some of those trees along there. We can still use the monies for that too. Kay said yes. Councilmember Wynn suggested once we get that done too then we can look at the excess money in the tree replacement fund but if we can use some of that money for the 400 interchange to plant some really nice trees that would be really good too.
- Councilmember Orlans said weren't we going to plant some trees along Mimosa with the bulb outs, etc. Kay said it's with the bulb out project delay. Councilmember Orlans said remind him why we're delaying that. Steve said Mimosa is poorly served by a Stormwater infrastructure so Public Works was looking at a plan on how to improve...basically we need to add pipes and drop inlets in which is pretty invasive – it means we're going to have to dig up the shoulders, etc. In our opinion it doesn't make any sense to go and put in bulb outs and trees when they're all going to get dug up probably in the near future. We're working with them on how to go ahead but it's tracking – it's still in his budget – it's not ready yet.
- Kay Love said staff will go back and tweak this and bring it back.
- Councilmember Price said she is unclear as to this...this money cannot be used for new trees. Kay said it can be. Councilmember Price said so why are we saying that it can only be for replacement. Kay said we're not. Councilmember Igleheart said we're talking about in the middle of the median. Councilmember Wynn said we're talking about replacing trees on 92. Councilmember Price said so what's wrong with putting new trees there. Kay said we would need to get approval from GDOT. There's nothing keeping us – the point she was making is that we should be able without having to through a long permitting process with GDOT be able to replace a diseased or dead tree that currently exists. The discussion was that Councilmember Igleheart brought up is some of those look ratty and need to be replaced – we can certainly look at a plan to add trees – we just have to go through a more formal process with GDOT to get that approval. Councilmember Price said why don't we do that at the same time – it makes no sense to replace those and then have other ones that aren't at the same stage. Kay said we can. Councilmember Wynn said the reason we want to get all the trees replaced first is to see what our balance is and do the 400 interchange then we can see what the balance is then go back and see...Kay said staff will rework this and bring it back to you.
- COMMITTEE ACTION: Staff will make revisions to the Plan based on the Committee's discussion and bring back to a future Committee Meeting.

**Discussion of a text amendment regarding buffer requirements between an existing PRD and a new PRD – Guidance**

- Kay Love said Councilmember Dippolito asked that we put this on the agenda today for discussion.
- Councilmember Dippolito said when we talked about the buffers for PRD's when we were discussing the UDC we had talked about the fact that the PRD buffer would really be dependent on what the adjacent existing development is so we, for the most part, under the PRD vertical column (referencing provided graphic) had the C/D buffer in the bulk of it with an asterisk at the top that said it's based on existing development so it's really dependent on what the adjacency was. We left out PRD to PRD which is the same situation – it really depends on what the adjacency is so you could have a situation where you have small houses going in next to large houses and vice versa. So his suggestion would be that we put C/D back in for the PRD to PRD – he thinks that the intent was to have that based on the adjacency of existing development.
- Councilmember Wynn said she agrees and talked with Councilmember Diamond before she brought this forward when Councilmember Dippolito brought it to her just to make sure that was

the intent and she agreed. What do we need to do to rectify that as an amendment to the UDC? She thinks we need to move forward on this.

- COMMITTEE ACTION: The Committee approved to move the text amendment forward.

#### **TRANSPORTATION**

##### **Consideration to award a contract to Kissberg Construction Inc. for the SR140 at Warsaw Road Intersection Improvement in the amount of \$95,980 and to sign the GDOT Construction Agreement to accept the funds in the amount of \$95,980**

- COMMITTEE ACTION: The Committee approved to place this contract on the 5/12/2014 Mayor and Council agenda.

##### **Consideration of Memorandum of Agreement with the Georgia Department of Transportation (GDOT) for Roadway Lighting on SR9/Alpharetta Highway as part of the Midtown Gap Project**

- COMMITTEE ACTION: The Committee approved to place this contract on the 5/12/2014 Mayor and Council agenda.

##### **Consideration of a Right of Way Acquisition Contract with the Georgia Department of Transportation (GDOT) for the Hardscrabble Road Multi-Use (Green Loop) Project**

- COMMITTEE ACTION: The Committee approved to place this contract on the 5/12/2014 Mayor and Council agenda.

##### **Consideration to accept a Right of Way Agreement for the Eves Road Complete Street Project**

- COMMITTEE ACTION: The Committee approved to place this Agreement on the 5/12/2014 Mayor and Council agenda.

##### **Consideration of Eves Road East Roswell Park Access**

- Steve Acenbrak said this is the Eves Road Complete Street project on the left (referencing provided graphic); East Roswell Park; this is a driveway access; two lanes – one lane in each direction; we initially designed it one way and got to the community and the community gave us feedback – we went back to the drawing board and redesigned it so you can see the alignment – we have had this surveyed – this is an engineering design. It's a 15-mile an hour speed limit; very narrow lanes. The connection goes behind Eves Circle and the tennis courts as you can see down there – there's a retaining wall along the edge...
- Councilmember Wynn asked if the Rec Commission likes this. Steve said they took it to the Rec Commission last Thursday and they voted to approve it.
- Councilmember Orlans asked what, other than the 15-mile speed limit – what are you doing to try to prevent the real flow of traffic here. Steve said they have two 90 degree curves in here for traffic calming – you're going through a parking lot here and then this trail system that already exists that's going to be basically – we're going to interfere with that so what we are doing we're stop controlling it and we are...that's an elevated crosswalk there so the pedestrians actually are going to have the right of way and then it goes down – it goes to the trail (referencing graphic) and or it will continue along the perimeter. Councilmember Orlans asked if they are putting in any additional humps in the parking lot. Steve said they have not but we could. Councilmember Orlans said he thinks they need to watch this as it goes for that potential purpose. Steve said they would and those are things they can certainly monitor. There are other things we can do on this – the core element here was that this design was approved by the Rec Commission and so what we want to do is put the drawing – the documents in the bid package for Eves Circle. You just approved our most difficult parcel so the only thing we were waiting for is your approval on this